4:36 PM FR PODER, FISHMAN

Serial No. 09/504,343 Attorney Docket No. 65678-0011

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK (

In re application of: PARENT ET AL.

Serial No.:

09/504,343

Group Art Unit: 3621

Filed:

02/14/2000

Examiner:

HEWITT II, Calvin L.

For:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR VIRTUAL RENTAL FLEET

Attorney Docket No.: 65678-0011

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

deposited with the United States Postal Service

first class mail, postage prepaid in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231

Date: June 26, 2003

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE A

Dear Sir:

The Applicants respectfully provide the following response to the Office Action dated March 26, 2003 ("Office Action").

OFFICIAL

-1-

FAX RECEIVED

JUN 2 7 2003

GROUP 3600

Serial No. 09/504,343 Attorney Docket No. 65678-0011

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

As a preliminary matter, the Applicants thank the Examiner for providing the Office Action dated March 26, 2003 (the "Office Action") and the search results contained therein. In the Office Action: (1) claims 16, 17, 19, and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed toward non-statutory subject matter; (2) claims 1-7 and 10-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,014,644 ("Erickson") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,744,873 ("Berent"); and (3) claims 8-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Erickson in view of Berent, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,161,099 ("Harrington").

Applicants believe that claims 1-21 are in condition for allowance, and respectfully traverse Examiner's rejections. With regards to the Section 101 rejections, the Office Action fails to provide any specific statutory or constitutional basis for the assertion that "users" or a subset of possible types of "users" (such as "dealers") are precluded as potential claim elements. The inclusion of a human actor in a claim is not the same thing as claiming ownership of a human being.

With regards to the Section 103 rejections, the cited references do not disclose each and every element of the claimed invention. Moreover, the cited references do not affirmatively suggest their combination. To the contrary, the cited references teach away from each other and any combination resembling Applicants' claims.

Applicants amend claim 1 for the sole purpose of correcting a typographical error. No amendments have been made for the purposes of patentability.

Worldwide Intellectual Property Matters • Patents • Trademarks Litigation • Copyrights • U.S. and Foreign Portfolio Management Computer and Internet Law • Trade Secrets • Unfair Competition

Comments:

To: Examiner Calvin	Hewitt From:	Christopher	J. Falkowski/Imi
Fax: 703-305-768	7 Pages:	20 + Coversheet	
Phone:	Date:	June 26, 2003	
Re: 09/504,343	cc:		
Urgent For Review	Please Comment	Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle
This message is intended only and may contain information under applicable laws. If the employee or agent responsibl hereby notified that any dissestrictly prohibited. If you havimmediately by telephone and Postal Service. Thank you.	n that is privileged, confice reader of this message is the for delivering the mess emination, distribution, cover the received this communication.	dential, and exempt fit s not the intended rec sage to the intended re or copying of this com ication in error, pleas	rom disclosure ipient or the ecipient, you are amunication is se notify us

S.N. 09/504,343, filed a/14/00
Examiner Calvin Hewitt/Group Unit 3621
Attorney Docket: 65678-0011

39533 Woodward Avc., Suite 140 • Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 • 248-594-0600 • Fax 248-594-0610 • www.raderfishman.com **Bloomfield Hills** Washington, D.C. Salt Lake City

FAX RECEIVED JUN 2 7 2003 GROUP 3600